

Quantitative comparisons of analogue models of brittle thrusting

Guido Schreurs¹, Susanne Buitert² and the GeoMod2008 Analogue Team*

(1) Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern, Switzerland
(schreurs@geo.unibe.ch)

(2) Geological Survey of Norway (susanne.buiter@ngu.no)

We present a direct comparison of experimental results of 16 analogue modelling laboratories for three thrust experiments. Our quantitative analysis of the results documents the variability among models and will allow an appraisal of reproducibility and limits of interpretation. This has direct implications for comparisons between structures in analogue models and natural field examples.

All laboratories used the same frictional analogue materials (quartz and corundum sand) and model-building techniques (sieving and levelling). Although each laboratory used its own experimental apparatus, the same type of self-adhesive foil was used to cover the base and all the walls of the experimental apparatus in order to guarantee identical boundary conditions (i.e. identical shear stresses at the base and walls). Temperature and humidity was not controlled and varied between laboratories.

Three experimental set-ups using only brittle frictional materials were examined (Fig. 1). In each of the three set-ups the model was shortened by a vertical wall, which moved with respect to the fixed base and the three remaining sidewalls. The minimum width of the model (dimension parallel to mobile wall) was prescribed at 25 cm. In the first experimental set-up (experiment 1A, Fig. 1), a quartz sand wedge with a surface slope of $\sim 20^\circ$ was pushed by a mobile wall. All models conformed to the critical taper theory, maintained a stable surface slope and did not show internal deformation. In the next two experimental set-ups (experiments 1B and 2, Fig. 1), a horizontal sand pack consisting of alternating quartz sand and corundum sand layers was shortened from one side by the mobile wall. In experiment 2 a thin rigid sheet covered part of the model base and was attached to the mobile wall (i.e. a basal velocity discontinuity distant from the mobile wall). In experiment 1B a basal rigid sheet was absent and the basal velocity discontinuity was located at the mobile wall. In both experiments, models accommodated initial shortening by forward- and backward-verging thrusts. In all experiments, boundary stresses created significant drag of structures along the sidewalls. We therefore compared the surface slope and the location, dip angle and spacing of thrusts in sections through the central part of the model.

*GeoMod2008 Analogue Team: Caroline Burberry, Jean-Paul Callot, Cristian Cavozi, Mariano Cerca, Ernesto Cristallini, Alexander Cruden, Jian-Hong Chen, Leonardo Cruz, Jean-Marc Daniel, Florian Hofmann, Victor H. Garcia, Caroline Gomes, Céline Grall, Yannick Guillot, Cecilia Guzmán, Triyani Nur Hidayah, George Hilley, Chia-Yu Lu, Matthias Klinkmüller, Hemin Koyi, Jenny Macauley, Bertrand Maillot, Catherine Meriaux, Faramarz Nilfouroushan, Chang-Chih Pan, Daniel Pillot, Rodrigo Portillo, Matthias Rosenau, Wouter P. Schellart, Roy Schlische, Andy Take, Bruno Vendeville, Matteo Vettori, M. Vergnaud, Shih-Hsien Wang, Martha Withjack, Daniel Yagupsky, Yasuhiro Yamada




Fig. 1. Experimental set-ups used in analogue model comparisons

All models show very similar cross-sectional evolutions demonstrating reproducibility of first-order experimental observations. Forward shear zones in the models develop at Mohr-Coulomb dip angles. The surface slopes of experiments 1B and 2 do not reach a critical taper. We find significant along-strike variations of structures in map view, highlighting the limits of interpretations of analogue model results. These variations may be related to the human factor, differences in model width and/or differences in laboratory temperature and especially humidity affecting the mechanical properties of the granular materials.